

**Session 1: *Why Do People Cheat and What Can We Do About It?*
Presented by Dr. Dan Ariely**

1. Ariely D. Predictably irrational : the hidden forces that shape our decisions. 1st ed. ed. New York :: Harper, 2008.
2. Ariely D. The upside of irrationality : the unexpected benefits of defying logic at work and at home. 1st ed. ed. New York, NY :: Harper, 2010.
3. Ariely D. The honest truth about dishonesty : how we lie to everyone---especially ourselves. 1st ed. ed. New York :: Harper, 2012.
4. Ayal S, Hochman G, Ariely D. Dishonest Behavior, from Theory to Practice. *Front Psychol* 2016;7:3. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01521
5. Mazar N, Ariely D. Dishonesty in scientific research. *J Clin Invest* 2015;125(11):3993-96. doi: 10.1172/jci84722

Session 2: *Anatomy of a (Hypothetical) Case of Misconduct*

1. The Office of Research Integrity [Available from: <http://ori.hhs.gov/>.
2. Solutions, not scapegoats. *Nature* 2008;453(7198):957-57.
3. Fang FC, Steen RG, Casadevall A. Misconduct accounts for the majority of retracted scientific publications (vol 109, pg 17028, 2012). *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013;110(3):1137-37. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1220649110
4. Fisher ER, Partin KM. The Challenges for Scientists in Avoiding Plagiarism. *Account Res* 2014;21(6):353-65. doi: 10.1080/08989621.2013.877348
5. John LK, Loewenstein G, Prelec D. Measuring the Prevalence of Questionable Research Practices With Incentives for Truth Telling. *Psychol Sci* 2012;23(5):524-32. doi: 10.1177/0956797611430953
6. Kornfeld DS, Titus SL. Stop ignoring misconduct. *Nature* 2016;537(7618):29-30.
7. McNutt M. Due process in the Twitter age. *Science* 2016;352(6284):387-87. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf8885
8. Policy EOotPOoSaT. Federal Research Misconduct Policy The Office of Research Integrity 2000 [Available from: <http://ori.hhs.gov/federal-research-misconduct-policy>.

Lunch Session: *Perspectives on Peer Review: The Gold Standard or the Old Standard?*

1. Champion EW, Curfman GD, Drazen JM. Tracking the peer-review process. *N Engl J Med* 2000;343(20):1485-86. doi: 10.1056/nejm200011163432009
2. Curfman GD, Morrissey S, Annas GJ, et al. Peer review in the balance. *N Engl J Med* 2008;358(21):2276-77. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe0803516
3. Fang FC, Bowen A, Casadevall A. NIH peer review percentile scores are poorly predictive of grant productivity. *eLife* 2016;5:6. doi: 10.7554/eLife.13323
4. Fang FC, Casadevall A. Grant funding: Playing the odds. *Science* 2016;352(6282):158-58.
5. Fang FC, Casadevall A. Research Funding: the Case for a Modified Lottery. *mBio* 2016;7(2):7. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00229-16

6. McNutt M. The measure of research merit. *Science* 2014;346(6214):1155-55. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa3796
7. Neill US. How to write a scientific masterpiece. *J Clin Invest* 2007;117(12):3599-602. doi: 10.1172/jci34288

Session 3: Repeat After Me: Current Issues in Reproducibility

1. Donoho DL, Maleki A, Shahram M, et al. Reproducible Research in Computational Harmonic Analysis. *Comput Sci Eng* 2009;11(1):8-18.
2. Exposing digital forgeries in scientific images. Proceedings of the 8th workshop on Multimedia and security; 2006. ACM.
3. Farid H. Exposing Digital Forgeries From JPEG Ghosts. *IEEE Trans Inf Forensic Secur* 2009;4(1):154-60. doi: 10.1109/tifs.2008.2012215
4. Farid H. Image Forgery Detection A survey. *IEEE Signal Process Mag* 2009;26(2):16-25. doi: 10.1109/msp.2008.931079
5. Freedman LP. Antibodies: validate recombinants too. *Nature* 2015;518(7540):483-83.
6. Freedman LP. Know Thy Cells: Improving Biomedical Research Reproducibility. *Sci Transl Med* 2015;7(294):2. doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aac7112
7. Freedman LP, Cockburn IM, Simcoe TS. The Economics of Reproducibility in Preclinical Research. *Plos Biol* 2015;13(6) doi: ARTN e1002165
10.1371/journal.pbio.1002165
8. Freedman LP, Gibson MC, Ethier SP, et al. Reproducibility: changing the policies and culture of cell line authentication. *Nat Methods* 2015;12(6):493-97. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3403
9. Freedman LP, Gibson MC, Neve RM. Changing the Culture of Cell Culture: Applying Best Practices and Authentication to Ensure Scientific Reproducibility. *Biopharm Int* 2015;28(10):14-+.
10. Freedman LP, Gibson MC, Wisman R, et al. The culture of cell culture practices and authentication-Results from a 2015 Survey. *Biotechniques* 2015;59(4):189-92. doi: 10.2144/000114344
11. Holmes O, Banks MS, Farid H. Assessing and Improving the Identification of Computer-Generated Portraits. *ACM Trans Appl Percept* 2016;13(2):12. doi: 10.1145/2871714
12. Kee E, Farid H. A perceptual metric for photo retouching. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2011;108(50):19907-12. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1110747108
13. Kee E, O'Brien JF, Farid H. Exposing Photo Manipulation from Shading and Shadows. *ACM Trans Graph* 2014;33(5):21. doi: 10.1145/2629646
14. Klein SL, Schiebinger L, Stefanick ML, et al. Opinion: Sex inclusion in basic research drives discovery. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2015;112(17):5257-58. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1502843112
15. Miller VM, Rice M, Schiebinger L, et al. Embedding Concepts of Sex and Gender Health Differences into Medical Curricula. *J Womens Health* 2013;22(3):194-202. doi: 10.1089/jwh.2012.4193
16. Nuzzo R. FOOLING OURSELVES. *Nature* 2015;526(7572):182-85.
17. Schiebinger L. Gendered Innovations in Biomedicine and Public Health Research. New York: Springer 2012.

18. Schiebinger L. Scientific research must take gender into account. *Nature* 2014;507(7490):9-9.
19. Schiebinger L, Klinge I, de Madariaga IS, et al. Innovations generated. Case Study: Science. The Genetics of sex determination. *Avant* 2015(1):27-39.
20. Schiebinger L, Schraudner M. Interdisciplinary Approaches to Achieving Gendered Innovations in Science, Medicine, and Engineering. *Interdiscip Sci Rev* 2011;36(2):154-67. doi: 10.1179/030801811x13013181961518
21. Schiebinger L, Stefanick ML. Gender Matters in Biological Research and Medical Practice. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;67(2):136-38. doi: 10.1016/j.jacc.2015.11.029
22. Stodden V. Reproducible Research: Tools and Strategies for Scientific Computing. *Comput Sci Eng* 2012;14(4):11-12.
23. Stodden V. Resolving Irreproducibility in Empirical and Computational Research. *Institute of Mathematical Statistics Bulletin Online* 2013
24. Stodden V. Reproducing Statistical Results. In: Fienberg SE, ed. Annual Review of Statistics and Its Application, Vol 2. Palo Alto: Annual Reviews 2015:1-19.
25. Stodden V, Guo PX, Ma ZK. Toward Reproducible Computational Research: An Empirical Analysis of Data and Code Policy Adoption by Journals. *PLoS One* 2013;8(6):8. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0067111
26. Stodden V, Hurlin C, Perignon C, et al. RunMyCode.org: a novel dissemination and collaboration platform for executing published computational results. 2012 IEEE 8th International Conference on E-Science. New York: IEEE 2012.
27. Stodden V, Miguez S, Seiler J. ResearchCompendia.org: Cyberinfrastructure for Reproducibility and Collaboration in Computational Science. *Comput Sci Eng* 2015;17(1):12-19. doi: 10.1109/mcse.2015.18

Session 4: Where Should We Go From Here: What Should be Done to Promote the Credibility of Science?

1. Alberts B, Cicerone RJ, Fienberg SE, et al. Self-correction in science at work. *Science* 2015;348(6242):1420-22. doi: 10.1126/science.aab3847
2. Begley CG, Buchan AM, Dirnagl U. Institutions must do their part for reproducibility. *Nature* 2015;525(7567):25-27.
3. Bik EM, Casadevall A, Fang FC. The Prevalence of Inappropriate Image Duplication in Biomedical Research Publications. *mBio* 2016;7(3):8. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00809-16
4. Bland CJT, A.L.; Shollen, S.L.; Weber-Main, A; Mulcahy, P. Faculty Success Through Mentoring: A Guide for Mentor, Mentees, and Leaders. Lanham, MD: Rowan & Littlefield Publishers, Inc. 2011.
5. Casadevall A, Bertuzzi S, Buchmeier MJ, et al. ASM Journals Eliminate Impact Factor Information from Journal Websites. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2016;82(18):5479-80. doi: 10.1128/aem.01986-16
6. Casadevall A, Ellis LM, Davies EW, et al. A Framework for Improving the Quality of Research in the Biological Sciences. *mBio* 2016;7(4):5. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01256-16
7. Casadevall A, Fang FC. Causes for the Persistence of Impact Factor Mania. *mBio* 2014;5(2):5. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00064-14

8. Casadevall A, Fang FC. Specialized Science. *Infect Immun* 2014;82(4):1355-60. doi: 10.1128/iai.01530-13
9. Casadevall A, Fang FC. (A)Historical Science. *Infect Immun* 2015;83(12):4460-64. doi: 10.1128/iai.00921-15
10. Casadevall A, Fang FC. Impacted Science: Impact Is Not Importance. *mBio* 2015;6(5):4. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01593-15
11. Casadevall A, Steen RG, Fang FC. Sources of error in the retracted scientific literature. *Faseb J* 2014;28(9):3847-55. doi: 10.1096/fj.14-256735
12. Drazen JM. Data Sharing and the Journal. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374(19):E24-E24. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe1601087
13. Drazen JM, Morrissey S, Malina D, et al. The Importance - and the Complexities - of Data Sharing. *N Engl J Med* 2016;375(12):1182-83. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe1611027
14. Ellis LM. The erosion of research integrity: the need for culture change. *Lancet Oncol* 2015;16(7):752-54.
15. Fang FC, Casadevall A. Competitive Science: Is Competition Ruining Science? *Infect Immun* 2015;83(4):1229-33. doi: 10.1128/iai.02939-14
16. Freedman LP, Cockburn IM, Simcoe TS. The Economics of Reproducibility in Preclinical Research. *Plos Biol* 2015;13(6) doi: ARTN e1002165
10.1371/journal.pbio.1002165
17. Freedman LP, Gibson MC. The Impact of Preclinical Irreproducibility on Drug Development. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2015;97(1):16-18. doi: 10.1002/cpt.9
18. Freedman LP, Gibson MC, Ethier SP, et al. Reproducibility: changing the policies and culture of cell line authentication. *Nat Methods* 2015;12(6):493-97. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.3403
19. Freedman LP, Gibson MC, Neve RM. Changing the Culture of Cell Culture: Applying Best Practices and Authentication to Ensure Scientific Reproducibility. *Biopharm Int* 2015;28(10):14-+.
20. Freedman LP, Inglese J. The Increasing Urgency for Standards in Basic Biologic Research. *Cancer Res* 2014;74(15):4024-29. doi: 10.1158/0008-5472.can-14-0925
21. Longo DL, Drazen JM. Data Sharing. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374(3):276-77. doi: 10.1056/NEJMe1516564
22. McNutt M. Journals unite for reproducibility. *Science* 2014;346(6210):679-79. doi: 10.1126/science.aaa1724
23. McNutt M. Reproducibility. *Science* 2014;343(6168):231-31. doi: 10.1126/science.1250475
24. McNutt M. Data sharing. *Science* 2016;351(6277):1007-07. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4545
25. McNutt M. # IAmAResearchParasite. *Science* 2016;351(6277):1005-05. doi: 10.1126/science.aaf4701
26. McNutt M. Implicit bias. *Science* 2016;352(6289):1035-35. doi: 10.1126/science.aag1695
27. Nosek BA, Alter G, Banks GC, et al. SCIENTIFIC STANDARDS. Promoting an open research culture. *Science (New York, NY)* 2015;348(6242):1422-25. doi: 10.1126/science.aab2374

28. Shollen SL, Bland CJ, Center BA, et al. Relating Mentor Type and Mentoring Behaviors to Academic Medicine Faculty Satisfaction and Productivity at One Medical School. *Acad Med* 2014;89(9):1267-75. doi: 10.1097/acm.0000000000000381
29. Steen RG, Casadevall A, Fang FC. Why Has the Number of Scientific Retractions Increased? *PLoS One* 2013;8(7):9. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0068397
30. Taichman DB, Backus J, Baethge C, et al. Sharing Clinical Trial Data: A Proposal From the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors. *Ann Intern Med* 2016;164(7):505-06. doi: 10.7326/m15-2928